MODEL FOUR

VALUE-CHAIN
The objectives of establishing such collectives were to increase the yield of the production through economy of scale and better training, as well as to improve the revenue of the producer, thanks to an increased bargaining power. Thousands of such collectives were formed and supported through government schemes over the last decade or so in India. However, it is worth noting that, except for a few rare success stories (like Amul or Mother Dairy), most of these collectives have not been able to find their right place within the value chain. Most of these collectives did not produce as per the requirements of the private sector in terms of quality and quantity. Moreover, when as part of the value chain, they remained at lower end, generally exploited by intermediaries or middle-men.

While the government and many other organizations have focused on improving the production capacity and trying to align it with market requirements, it appears that not much has been done to develop the managerial capacity of these collectives. If we want to integrate them into organized value chains, they need to have clear managerial competencies to handle the direct relationship with organized buyers.

How will this model work?

Through the first part of the proof-of-concept, Disha has been able to identify two new job roles that should strengthen
the collective capacity, to be integrated into organized value chain:

1. **The Manager**: More outward-looking, he is the one dealing with the organized buyer, with financial institutions and with the governance of the collective.

2. **The Sourcing Manager**: More inward-looking, he is the one that ensures that farmers/artisans produce at par with market requirements, especially in terms of quality and quantity.

The Disha project is now looking at standardizing the courses to create such job roles within the collectives. Partnerships with renowned academia (like IRMA – Institute for Rural Management) have been formulated to create a mini-MBA that will provide the collective with the right set of skills and the recognition of those skills through a certification process.

It is also found that building the capacity of the women from the collective itself will have its own limitations. The two worlds of rural India and large-scale retailers are too different and as much as we can try and build the capacity of a woman farmer from a remote rural village, her relationship with a young, urban procurement expert of a large FMCG will always be biased and will often lead to exploitation of the farmer. Nevertheless, Disha also believes in building endogenous capacities.

Thus will be adopted a two-generation approach. The children of the farmers and artisans went to schools and some even graduated from colleges. However, not all of them could migrate to the city to get an entry-level job. Some of them will prefer to remain close to their family/community. Unfortunately, there are no jobs available for them. Also, they have acquired some skills through their education and are quite acquainted with the new technologies (which can be useful to promote the collectives’ products through e-commerce platforms). By identifying this second-generation, grooming them through an MBA programme, we could reinforce the capacity of the collective in a sustainable manner, as they will tend to stay in the community for long.

**What are the results we are expecting from this model?**

As part of the ToC of this model, the main expected outcome is:

- Women, member of a collective, have increased income

The main outputs leading to this outcome are the following:

- Women skilled for enterprise
- Women started enterprise
- Collectives have strengthened managerial capacity
- Collectives are integrated into organized value chain
What are we testing to create the final blueprint of this model?

The iteration of this model that needs to be tested over the course of the next one year depends largely on the institutional framework which will be used to build the managerial capacity of the collectives, and ensure their integration into organized value chains.

Three different iterations of the same model are now being tested.

- **Using an NGO to reinforce the capacity of collectives.** There are many NGOs that have worked in this field (farm and non-farm) and have developed clear expertise in handling collectives. The objective of partnering with them is to ensure the creation of a sustainable framework by standardizing the capacity building component.

- Working with already existing government networks (like MAVIM or any State Rural Livelihood Mission). Scale is by definition at the core of this iteration. However, working with these large-scale collectives and administrations requires a lot of technical assistance to ensure the quality of the delivery of the programme.

- Finally, the last iteration will focus on working with social enterprises that can become an important and valuable intermediary between the collective and the organized buyer. This iteration takes its inspiration directly from the Ikea Next Generation programme. By helping the social enterprise play its role at the fullest, we aim to strengthen the whole value chain and ensure more profits for the farmers/artisans.